



October 2019 Newsletter

Newsletter Editor: Manfred Schmitt

schmittm@uni-landau.de

❖ In this Issue	Page
News from the President	2
ISJR Conference 2020	3
Other Justice-Related Conference: SPSP Preconference 2020 on Conflict and Conflict resolution	4
Awards to ISJR-Members	4
Justice-Related Grants	5
Justice-Related Dissertation: Miller-Farrar, M.S., Saint Mary's College of California; Powerful Voices of Women Religious: Social Justice as a Core Value of a University	5
Book Series Perspectives on Justice and Morality	6
Justice-Related Books	
– Bronitt, S. & Eastal, P. (2018). Rape law in context.	7
– Kalev, H. D. (2018). An anatomy of feminist resistance.	8
– Čunichina et al. (2019). Social perception of judges' behavior and image.	9
– Moghaddam, F. M. (2019). Threat to democracy: The appeal of authoritarianism in an age of uncertainty.	10
Call for submissions to a special issue of the Zeitschrift für Psychologie entitled "What Drives Second- and Third-Party Punishment? Conceptual Replications of the 'Intuitive Retributivism' Hypothesis"	11
Recent Justice-Related Publications	12
ISJR Membership and Listserv	15

❖ News from the President

Dear ISJR Members,

As those of us in the Southern Hemisphere welcome the Spring and come to the end of the academic year, I hope that the start of the academic year for our Northern Hemisphere colleagues has gone well!

It gives me great pleasure to announce the outcome of election for the next President of ISJR. All financial members of the Society were invited to cast an electronic vote. The outcome of this process is that Tyler Okimoto from the University of Queensland, Australia, will be our Society's next President. Please join me in congratulating Tyler. There is no question that he will be outstanding in this role, and will lead our Society to bigger and better achievements!

David Patient and his conference organizing team have made great progress on what is shaping up to be an outstanding 2020 conference in Lisbon, Portugal! I won't say much about it myself, as I will allow David to reveal some of the exciting things he has planned (see details of the conference on the next page). But I will say that the timing and location of the conference – nestled between two other European conferences – fills me with hope for enhanced attendance and the possibility of attracting new members to our Society.

The ISJR Executive has been involved in two other major discussions that are relevant to our members. One pertains to the nature of the distribution of the Society's journal, *Social Justice Research*. All paid members automatically receive a hard copy of the journal's editions. We have looked into the possibility, however, of allowing members to receive only electronic access if they wish. Unfortunately, at this point in time, the publisher, Springer, has not acceded to our request. If the members of the Society would like to pursue this further, we can discuss and vote on the matter during the Lisbon AGM.

The Executive has also considered implications of ISJR membership and voting rules. We realized that many members renew their membership at the time of each conference. Many, however, renew for only one year and often forget to renew in the subsequent year. Unfortunately, it is in that subsequent year that members vote for the next ISJR president. This means that lapsed financial members effectively become disenfranchised, as only fully paid members are allowed to vote. While we have considered alternatives, each is a compromise. Again, this is a matter that can be more fully discussed at the Lisbon AGM if members would like to do so.

Enjoy the changing colours of Autumn or the new buds of Spring, depending on where you are in the world! And I'll see you all in Lisbon.

Cheers,

Michael Platow

ISJR President

❖ ISJR Conference 2020

Theme Exploring Justice: Terra Firma and Terra Incognita

Date July 7-10, 2020 // Lisbon, Portugal

Location Católica-Lisbon School of Business & Economics

The 18th biennial meeting of the International Society for Justice Research will be held July 7-10, 2020 at Católica-Lisbon School of Business & Economics, Lisbon, Portugal. A pre-conference Doctoral Student Consortium will take place on July 7.

The theme, *Exploring Justice: Terra firma and terra incognita*, is intended to encourage submissions investigating established areas of research as well as areas of research that are less explored. Basic and applied research relating to social justice is welcomed, from a range of disciplines, in a setting enriched by Portugal's history of exploration and discoveries.

Research that is more *terra firma* would tend to build on existing constructs, frameworks, models, and paradigms. It would frequently examine consequences of known types of social justice and use established methodologies. *Terra firma* topics could include timeless issues such as just world beliefs, social equality, ethical reasoning, and organizational justice, and a range of consequences of social justice.

Research that is more *terra incognita* is likely to focus on less explored topics, use novel methodologies, identify fresh perspectives and questions relating to social justice, and propose new antecedents of social justice. *Terra incognita* topics could include research exploring environmental, intergenerational, or ageist justice, or relating to neuroscience, physical and mental health, intersectional identity, or nudging justice.

Of course, topics can introduce novel and unexplored aspects to familiar terrain, and extend solid foundations developed in one area to less explored territories. The key requirement is that papers contribute to our understanding of social justice issues, either by deepening our understanding in established areas or by extending and challenging existing approaches to social justice – or both.

Submission details

Scholars may submit individual papers for which only a maximum 500-word abstract is required. Scholars may also submit paper symposia, including 3-4 individual papers and a discussant. For symposia, organizers should submit an overview abstract (up to 250 words), which may be included in the conference program, plus maximum 250-word abstracts for each individual paper.

Doctoral student consortium

Doctoral students are invited to apply for participation in the doctoral student consortium and research incubator by sending a CV, and research proposal.

Key dates and deadlines

November 1, 2019 Submission portal opens

January 31, 2020 Deadline for submission of abstracts

March 1, 2020 Registration opens

March 20, 2020 Receive notification of acceptance of abstracts

April 3, 2020 Deadline for applications to the Doctoral Student Consortium

April 20, 2020 Decisions communicated (conference information continues on next page)

Conference Information

Further details to follow on the detailed timetable and keynote speakers, as well as the optional excursions and events, including the gala dinner. Accommodations will be available on a first-come, first-served basis at a range of 3, 4, and 5-star hotels in downtown Lisbon or closer to Católica-Lisbon.

For further inquiries, see

<https://www.clsbe.lisboa.ucp.pt/isjr-conference-2020>

<https://www.isjr.org/>

Email

isjr2020@ucp.pt

❖ Other Justice-Related Conference: SPSP Preconference 2020 on Conflict and Conflict Resolution

Karina Schuman is hosting a SPSP Preconference 2020 on Conflict and Conflict Resolution. Submissions of posters and data blitz talks are invited.

More information:

<http://meeting.spsp.org/preconferences/conflict-and-conflict-resolution>

❖ Awards to ISJR Members

John Jost received the Carol and Ed Diener Award to recognize a mid-career scholar whose work has added substantially to the body of knowledge in Social Psychology, Sponsored by the Society for Personality and Social Psychology (SPSP) and the Foundation for Personality and Social Psychology (FPSP), 2019. Congratulations!

❖ Justice-Related Research Grants

- **Kees van den Bos, Hilke Grootelaar, and others** received a research grant from the Research and Documentation Centre (WODC) of the Netherlands Ministry of Justice and Safety to conduct research on the sexual abuse and filing of complaints among Jehovah's Witnesses (k€85).
- **Kees van den Bos, Michèle Bal, and many others** received a research grant from the WODC to conduct research on socio-economic class effects in criminal law (k€130-195).
- **Kees van den Bos, Mirko Noordegraaf, and others** received a research grant from the WODC to examine the combating of criminality within processes counterterrorism (k€90).
- **Kees van den Bos, Scott Douglas, and others** received a research grant from the City of Arnhem to evaluate the city' approach to radicalization (k€60).
- **John Jost** received a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF/SBE-BSF): Award # BCS-1627691, "Ideological Differences in Emotion Regulation Processes in Interpersonal and Inter-group Contexts," Co-PI: Eran Halperin (Funded in the amount of \$793,835), 2016-2019.

❖ Justice-Related Dissertation

Powerful Voices of Women Religious: Social Justice as a Core Value of a University

Michael S. Miller-Farrar, Saint Mary's College of California

If a university founded in the mid 1800s by a Roman Catholic Order of women religious commits to social justice as a core value, to what should the university actually commit? This study brought together philosophical, psychological, and educational perspectives. Fifteen women religious, most associated with the university for decades, were interviewed about personal, social, and institutional influences that contributed to the meaning of social justice. Thematic analysis revealed an understanding of social justice as an action-oriented value grounded in humanistic principles and enacted through an ethic of care. Conceptually, social justice was comprised of four nested themes that began with a calling, followed by discovery of deeper meaning, supported by the stabilizing power of community, and finally, alignment of their praxis with their conceptual commitments to social justice. Early influences included faith, family, and consciousness-raising experiences. An identity and moral voice for social justice was also deemed essential for establishing a legacy to address the never-ending reality of injustice. Expectations for the university include paying attention to callings for social justice and building social justice immersion experiences into academic and student life.

❖ Book Series Perspectives on Justice and Morality

As you may know, ISJR is hosting a book series titled [Perspectives on Justice and Morality](#) in collaboration with (and published by) Oxford University Press. Until now, three books have been published in the series:

- Unequal Foundations: Inequality, Morality, and Emotions across Cultures (Hitlin & Harkness, 2017)
- The Moral Punishment Instinct (Van Prooijen, 2018)
- Why People Radicalize: How Unfairness Judgments are Used to Fuel Radical Beliefs, Extremist Behaviors, and Terrorism (van den Bos, 2018)

And, hopefully, more books are yet to come! As ISJR's new book series editor (succeeding Carolyn Hafer, who has done a marvelous job as "midwife" for the three books mentioned above), I would like to draw your attention to the series and to make you consider the possibility of writing/editing a book yourself!

Ideally, the book series covers the most recently discussed and most relevant topics in the field of justice and morality research. Since the field is interdisciplinary in nature, the book series should reflect the breadth of disciplines covering the field of justice and morality research (i.e., psychology, sociology, business, political science, law, criminology, history, and economics).

Topic-wise, there are no restrictions or preferences as long as the work published in the series is research-based (as opposed to opinion-based) and – if it is based in primary research – commits to "best-practice" standards in the respective discipline. Apart from that, any topic that is relevant for scholarly and/or societal discourses about justice and morality is appropriate. Both authored books and edited volumes are suitable for the series. Stylistically, books appearing in the series should be directed toward a broad audience – not only scholars, and certainly not only scholars in your specific field.

If you have an idea for a book that you would like to discuss, don't hesitate to contact me (mario.gollwitzer@psy.lmu.de). In addition, you may also want to check out the relevant documents on the publisher's website, that is, the [proposal submission policy](#) and the [author guidelines](#).

Looking forward to hearing more about your ideas for the book series!

Mario Gollwitzer

❖ Justice-Related Books

RAPE LAW IN CONTEXT

Contesting the Scales of Injustice

Simon Bronitt and Patricia Easta


This important new book is a successor to *Balancing the Scales*, published 20 years ago. Revisiting and extending beyond the themes in the previous collection, the authors offer new ways of thinking about the wrongs of rape and the responses of the criminal justice system. A unifying theme of this book, which meld critical and feminist legal analysis, is contestation. Contestation, the authors contend, is part of the DNA of rape law. Examining the principal reforms of rape law – relating to consent, intimate partner rape, legal responsibility (both individual and institutional), trial and sentencing processes – the authors build to their conclusion that contestation is a battle between realities, perceptions and attitudes. It is of course a forensic battle anchored to a question of '(un)reasonableness', whether it relates to the actions, beliefs or decisions of the accused, the victims, the police, lawyers, judges and jurors.

Over the past two decades, the field of rape law has been subject to much academic debate, policy development and law reform. While there has been some progress, victims' experience of the law and legal process often constitutes a form of secondary trauma. To contextualise the state of the law and to assess the impact of 'feminist' reforms, the authors devise a series of hypothetical cases to evaluate the legal reasoning of lawyers and judges at various stages of the trial and sentencing process. These accounts encourage readers to test their own and the law's normative ideals of gender justice relating to equality, privacy, fairness and human dignity. The authors conclude that fundamental concepts of rape law – consent, responsibility, 'just' punishment – demand further contestation. This book provides insights and strategies for contesting law's 'truths' in relation to rape in its many and varied manifestations.

ISBN 978 1 76002 189 4 • PB • 224pp • rrp \$69.95
*plus postage and handling

Visit our website and order online at

www.federationpress.com.au



THE FEDERATION PRESS

PO Box 45, Annandale NSW 2038 Australia
Ph: (02) 9552 2200 • Fax: (02) 9552 1681
Email: info@federationpress.com.au

Follow us:  

MIDDLE EASTERN STUDIES • WOMENS STUDIES

"Henriette Dahan Kalev's new book is, as her previous scholarship, a delightful read. It is challenging, educating, eye-opening, and even moving. Her writing offers an enlightening analysis of the relationships between women, society, and the economy, as well as the relationships between questions of scholarship, authorship, and methodology. It is a brilliant case in which the book and the cover, the 'what' and the 'how,' interact in new and original ways, and create a whole which is greater than the sum of its parts."

— ZVI TRIGER, PhD, The Striks School of Law, Rishon LeZion, Israel

"Henriette Dahan Kalev has written a paradigmatic demonstration of the feminist dictum that the 'personal is the political,' for both the subjects of research and the feminist scholar. She tells the stories of two Israeli women of Middle Eastern background who are workers in a marginalized location as they resist the interwoven power relations of gender subordination, ethnic inequality, and economic exploitation by global capitalism. By attending to the women's particular transformation of consciousness, Dahan Kalev provides uniquely insightful analysis and trenchant critique of the locality of global patriarchy."

— JON SIMONS, Leeds Trinity University

An Anatomy of Feminist Resistance explores the story of two women living in the remote town of Mitzpe Ramon in the Negev Desert in south Israel. These women lived in poverty and worked under oppressive conditions for all their lives until one day they began to resist. Standing up for the rights of working women and mothers, they led protests and strikes that shook the entire country for weeks.

In this book, Henriette Dahan Kalev's innovative perspective examines both the public and private spheres of these women's lives and reveals the existence of a third sphere in which women are able to find their voices. This study deciphers what causes women to accept conditions of oppression, under what circumstances women will begin to resist, and the political transformations rebellious women undergo while fighting oppression.

HENRIETTE DAHAN KALEV is professor emeritus of political science and gender studies at Ben Gurion University.

LEXINGTON BOOKS
An imprint of
Rowman & Littlefield
800-462-6420 • www.rowman.com



Cover photo by author.


DAHAN KALEV
AN ANATOMY OF FEMINIST RESISTANCE

AN ANATOMY OF FEMINIST RESISTANCE

Rebel in the Wilderness



HENRIETTE DAHAN KALEV



ABOUT THE BOOK

The book explores the story of two women living in remote town Mitzpe Ramon, in the Negev Desert in south Israel. These women lived in poverty and worked under oppressive conditions for all their lives until one day they began to resist. Standing for the rights of working women and mothers, they led protests and strikes that shook the entire country for weeks.

In *An Anatomy of Feminist Resistance: Rebel in the Wilderness*, Dahan Kalev's innovative perspective examines both the public and private spheres of these woman's lives and reveals the existence of a third sphere in which women are able to find their voices. This study deciphers what causes women to accept conditions of oppression, under what circumstances will women begin to resist, and what are the political transformations rebellious women undergo while fighting oppression.


TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Introduction
- Chapter 1: Feminism: An Unending Project
- Chapter 2: Gender conceptual revision and methodological toolbox
- Chapter 3: Laboratory of humanities is the real life - Analysis without amnesia
- Chapter 4: Two decades of the welfare state disintegration - The women's dismissal first
- Chapter 5: A women Employee in the Textile Factory
- Chapter 6: Can a Factory Worker Become Overnight a CEO?
- Chapter 7: How the "private-public" stereotypical system works?
- Chapter 8: Post Facts of Feminist Development
- Chapter 9: Vicki Knafo - Radical Rebel of a single mother
- Chapter 10: The journey from Mitzpe Ramon to Jerusalem
- Chapter 11: Back to the Body, Sexuality and to Femininity
- Chapter 12: The Journey in the Twilight Zone
- Chapter 13: Theoretical Conclusions

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Henriette Dahan Kalev is professor emeritus of political science at the Ben Gurion University

Ksenija Čunichina, Viktoras Justickis, Vita Mikuličiūtė, Vytautas Navickas, Vilmantė Pakalniškienė, Dovilė Petkevičiūtė-Barysienė, Dovilė Šeršniovaitė, Gintautas Valickas, Kristina Vanagaitė (2019). Social Perception of Judges' Behavior and Image.




The monograph is based on a vast project on procedural fairness in Lithuanian criminal, civil, and administrative processes.

Sections of the book

- The congruence of judicial behaviour with the requirements of procedural justice in criminal cases: data from studies conducted in 2004, 2011 and 2015
- The procedural justice perceptions of convicts: data obtained from studies conducted in 2004, 2011 and 2015
- The procedural justice of law enforcement officers' behaviour as perceived by crime victims: data from studies conducted in 2011 and 2015
- Results of an analysis of civil court proceedings and their congruence with the requirements of procedural justice
- How civil litigants evaluate the fairness of judicial behaviour
- The congruence of judicial behaviour with procedural justice requirements in administrative justice
- Peculiarities in the image of judges and courts perceived by adults and adolescents
- The image of judges and courts as perceived by children

Moghaddam, F. M. (2019). *Threat to democracy: The appeal of authoritarianism in an age of uncertainty*. APA Press.



THREAT TO DEMOCRACY: The Appeal of Authoritarianism in an Age of Uncertainty
This book explores the recent decline in democracy and the psychological appeal of authoritarianism in the global context. The psychological foundations of the recent surge in 'strongman leadership' is examined and explained. Solutions to current challenges to democracy are proposed.

https://www.amazon.com/Threat-Democracy-Appeal-Authoritarianism-Uncertainty/dp/1433830701/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1544295861&sr=1-

❖ Call for submissions to a special issue of the *Zeitschrift für Psychologie* entitled “What Drives Second- and Third-Party Punishment? Conceptual Replications of the ‘Intuitive Retributivism’ Hypothesis”

Guest Editors: Mathias Twardawski, Mario Gollwitzer, Steffi Pohl, and Michael Bosnjak

The topic of the special issue: Unfair treatment triggers a desire to punish the offender, both among victims (i.e., “second-party punishment”) and among uninvolved observers (i.e., “third-party punishment”). Strikingly, the question of *why* exactly victims and observers punish, that is, which underlying motives punishment aims to satisfy, has not yet been answered conclusively. Social psychologists, behavioral economists, and experimental philosophers are still debating whether punishment reactions are “retributivist” in nature – that is, rooted in a deontological punishment motive (“offenders should be punished because they deserve it”). By contrast, alternative approaches suggest that punishment may also be driven by other motives (e.g., the “consequentialist” approach assumes that punishment is rooted in an instrumental motive, such as a desire to re-educate the offender). The aim of the Special Issue is to motivate experts in the field of punishment research to investigate the motivational basis of punishment more rigorously and more strictly than it has been done so far. More precisely, all studies will conceptually replicate the “intuitive retributivism” hypothesis by varying design features to which the hypothesis should be principally insensitive. That is, the aim of the Special Issue is to determine the degree to which the “intuitive retributivism” hypothesis is valid across different samples, times, or situations.

The registered report procedure: There is a three-stage submission process. Initially, interested authors are requested to submit extended abstracts of their proposed papers. Authors of the selected abstracts will then be invited to submit a Stage-1 protocol that will undergo blind peer review. Protocols that pass peer review will be principally accepted, indicating that the article will be published pending successful completion of the study according to the protocol. Subsequently, authors will either collect the data themselves or prepare the study materials (e.g., program the study for online data collection) so that ZPID’s PsychLab online can manage the data collection. Once the data are analyzed strictly following the pre-registered analyses plan, authors submit a Stage-2 paper including a Results and Discussion section. If the analysis has been conducted as planned and if no other issues have emerged leading to a substantial deviation from the Stage-1 protocol, the paper will be finally accepted for publication.

The deadline for submitting structured extended abstracts is December 1, 2019

More information on the aim and procedure of this special issue can be found here:

[Call for Papers: What Drives Second- and Third-Party Punishment? Conceptual Replications of the ‘Intuitive Retributivism’ Hypothesis](https://eu.hogrefe.com/fileadmin/user_upload/global/journals/Hogrefe_Publishing/Zeitschrift_fuer_Psychologie/zfp_229_4_CfP.pdf)

(in case you should receive this as a text-only e-mail, the full URL is as follows:

https://eu.hogrefe.com/fileadmin/user_upload/global/journals/Hogrefe_Publishing/Zeitschrift_fuer_Psychologie/zfp_229_4_CfP.pdf

or simply go to the journal’s website and scroll down to the calls for papers).

Please direct any inquiries (e.g., suitability, format, scope, etc.) about this Special Issue to the following email address: zfp-replication-on-punishment@leibniz-psychology.org

Best, Mathias Twardawski

❖ Recent Justice-Related Publications

- Alipour Darvishi, Z., Doroudi, F., & Madani, N. (2018). Fuzzy Modeling of the Enactment of Stigma by Healthcare Personnel Toward People Living with HIV in the Frame of Counterfactual Thinking: A Mixed-Method Approach. *Aids research and human retroviruses*, 34, 808-815.
- Azevedo, F., Jost, J. T., & Rothmund, T. (2019). Neoliberal ideology and the justification of inequality in capitalist societies: Why social and economic dimensions of ideology are intertwined. *Journal of Social Issues*, 75, 49-88.
- Baert, F., Miller, M. M., Trost, Z., Hirsh, A. T., McParland, J., De Schryver, M., Vervoort, T. Parental injustice appraisals in the context of child pain: Examining the construct and criterion validity of the IEQ-Pc and IEQ-Ps. Accepted for publication in *Journal of Pain*. [https://www.jpain.org/article/S1526-5900\(19\)30766-7/pdf](https://www.jpain.org/article/S1526-5900(19)30766-7/pdf)
- Foschi, M., Ndobo, A., & Faure, A. (2019). Assessing and Blocking Double Standards for Competence. *Advances in Group Processes: A Research annual*, 36, 19-45.
- Hegtvedt, Karen A., Christie L. Parris, and Cathryn Johnson. 2019. "Framing and Feeling Fuel Environmentally Responsible Behaviors of Black Residents in the United States." *Sociological Perspectives* 62(5): 603-26. (Online reference June 21, 2019: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121419852946>)
- Hoffarth, M., Azevedo, F., & Jost, J. T. (2019). Political conservatism and the exploitation of non-human animals: An application of system justification theory. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 22, 858-878.
- Jasso, G., Shelly, R., and Webster, M. (2019). How impartial are the observers of Justice Theory? *Social Science Research*, 79, 226-246.
- Jost, J. T. (2019). A quarter century of system justification theory: Questions, answers, criticisms, and societal applications. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 58, 263-314.
- Jost, J. T. (2019). The IAT is dead, long live the IAT: Context-sensitive measures of implicit attitudes are indispensable to social and political psychology. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 28, 10-19.
- Kleppestø, T. H., Czajkowski, N. O., Vassend, O., Røysamb, E., Eftedal, N. H., Sheehy-Skeffington, J., Kunst, J. R., & Thomsen, L. (2019). Correlations between social dominance orientation and political attitudes reflect common genetic underpinnings: A twin study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818711116>
- Konow, J. (2019). "Which Is the Fairest One of All?: A Positive Analysis of Justice Theories." In: Cappelen, A.W. & Tungodden, B. (Eds.), *The Economics of Fairness* (pp. 68-119). Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar (reprinted from the *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2003).
- Konow, J. (2019). Fair Shares: Accountability and Cognitive Dissonance in Allocation Decisions. In: Cappelen, A.W. & Tungodden, B. (Eds.), *The Economics of Fairness* (pp. 254-273). Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar (reprinted from the *American Economic Review*, 2000).
- Konow, J. (forthcoming). Can Ethics Instruction Make Economics Students More Pro-social? *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*.

- Konow, J. (forthcoming). Is Fairness in the Eye of the Beholder?: An Impartial Spectator Analysis of Justice. In: Bauer, A.M. & Meyerhuber, M. (Eds.), *Empirical Research and Normative Theory: Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Two Methodological Traditions between Separation and Interdependence*. Berlin: De Gruyter (reprinted from Social Choice and Welfare, 2009).
- Konow, J., Tatsuyoshi, S., & Akai, K. (forthcoming). Equity versus Equality: Spectators, Stakeholders and Groups. *Journal of Economic Psychology*.
- Langer, M., Jost, J.T., Bonneau, R., Metzger, M., Noorbaloochi, S., & Penfold-Brown, D. (2019). Digital dissent: An analysis of the motivational contents of Tweets from an Occupy Wall Street demonstration. *Motivation Science*, 5, 14-34.
- Lee, G. C., Platow, M. J., Augoustinos, M., Van Rooy, D., Spears, R., & Bar Tal, D. (2019). When are anti-fat attitudes understood as prejudice vs. truth? An experimental study of social influence effects. *Obesity Science & Practice*, 5, 28-35. <https://doi.org/10.1002/osp4.315>
- Lobato, R. M. & Sainz, M. (2019). On the way to fusion through the pilgrims' route: Factors that maintain identity fusion in collective rituals. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430219849690>
- Morisi, D., Jost, J.T., & Singh, V. (2019). The "President-in-power" effect: An ideological asymmetry in political trust. *American Political Science Review*, 113, 614-620.
- Nelson, Jennifer L., Karen A. Hegtvedt, Regine Haardörfer, and Jenifer L. Hayward. 2019. "Unpacking Teacher to Teacher Trust: The Roles of Organizational Justice and Teacher Dynamics." *Work and Occupations* 46(3):307-38. (Online reference March 14, 2019: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888419835261>)
- Osborne, D., Jost, J.T., Becker, J., Badaan, V., & Sibley, C.G. (2019). Protesting to challenge or defend the system? A system justification perspective on collective action. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 49, 244-269.
- Platow, M. J., Van Rooy, D., Augoustinos, M., Spears, R., Bar Tal, D., & Grace, D. M. (2019). Prejudice is about collective values, not a biased psychological system. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 47, 15-22.
- Raj, M., Wiltermuth, S. S., & Adams, G. S. (2019). The social costs of forgiving following multiple-victim transgressions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000215>
- Sainz, M., Loughnan, S., Eyssel, F. & Pina, A. (2019). We share the Euro, but not our humanity: Humanity attributions and the interpretation of the Greek financial crisis. *The Social Science Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2019.03.007>
- Sainz, M., Martínez, R., Rodríguez-Bailón, R. & Moya, M. (2019). Where does the money come from? Humanizing high socioeconomic status groups promotes income inequality. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1-10. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00771>
- Sainz, M., Martínez, R., Sutton, R., Rodríguez-Bailón, R. & Moya, M. (2019). Less human, more to blame: Animalizing poor people increases blame and decreases support for wealth redistribution. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430219841135>

- Sheehy-Skeffington, J. & Thomsen, L. (2019). Egalitarianism: Psychological and socio-ecological foundations. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.08.014>
- Sterling, J.L., Jost, J.T., & Hardin, C.D. (2019). Liberal and conservative representations of the good society: A (social) structural topic modeling approach. *SAGE Open*, April-June issue, 1–13. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2158244019846211>
- Van de Vliert, E., & Van Lange, P. A. M. (2019). Latitudinal psychology: An ecological perspective on creativity, aggression, happiness, and beyond. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. <https://journals-sagepub-com.vu-nl.idm.oclc.org/doi/full/10.1177/1745691619858067>
- Especially since the now-classic WEIRD-article in Behavioral and Brain Sciences, psychology is growing around the world on a global scale. As in other disciplines, this raises new questions in psychology. For example, do a country's levels of national wealth and democracy enhance happiness? Or do people who live in cultures where rice is produced differ from those in which the primary source of income is wheat? An even bigger puzzle is that many cultural features – happiness, creativity, aggression, and individualism – are unevenly distributed around the globe. Recent research uncovered that happiness, as well as creativity and individualism, is higher in countries farther away from the equator – a phenomenon observed in the Northern and Southern hemisphere. And indicators of aggressiveness show an opposite pattern, as this is more common in countries closer to the equator. The authors do not explain this in terms of climatic determinism – that the human cognition and behavior is directly influenced by climate. But instead, they raise this as a major scientific puzzle to be addressed in a new field called latitudinal psychology, which adopts a strong ecological perspective to psychology and culture.
- Womick, J., Rothmund, T., Azevedo, F., King, L.A., & Jost, J.T. (2019). Group-based dominance and authoritarian aggression predict support for Donald Trump in the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *10*, 643-652.

❖ ISJR Membership and Listserv

You are not a member and would like to join ISJR? Please go to our website to the "Membership" tab: <http://isjr.jimdo.com/membership/> and click "Become a member."

As a member you will be automatically subscribed to the ISJR listserv. Otherwise, to subscribe to the ISJR listserv, go to: <http://isjr.jimdo.com/membership/listserv/>

If you are already a member of ISJR, please make sure you renew your membership and keep your membership details updated (in particular your email!): <http://isjr.jimdo.com/membership/>

For further information, please email to: michael.platow@anu.edu.au